

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/737,269	12/14/2000	David Robinson Bassett	17844-1	1888
35503	7590 02/16/2006		EXAMINER	
UNION CA	RBIDE CHEMICALS	EGWIM, KELECHI CHIDI		
CORPORATI	ION			
P.O. BOX 1967			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MIDLAND,	MI 48674		1713	

DATE MAILED: 02/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

h	/
_	

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/737,269	BASSETT ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Dr. Kelechi C. Egwim	1713			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 December 2005</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
	Claim(s) 1,2 and 5-20 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 5-20</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	· alastian requirement				
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or for Papers	election requirement.				
	• The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
,	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep		aminer.			
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) D Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/737,269

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jenkins et al. (USPN 5,292,843 or USPN 5,399,618) and Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shay et al. (USPN 4,801,671)

Each of Shay et al. (col. 2, lines 41-68, col. 3, lines 6-9 and col. 4, lines 7-19) or Jenkins et al. [(col. 1, lines 43-53 and col. 8, lines 55-68 in '843) and (col. 2, lines 5-23 and col. 9, lines 24-42 in '618)] teach aqueous polymer compositions comprising polymers prepared from the present acid monomers (A), the present alky ester

monomers (B) and the present alkoxylated fatty alcohol/ unsaturated isocyanates macromonomer products C), each in the presently claimed amounts.

While Shay et al. or Jenkins et al. do not expressly teach the disclosed viscosity properties at the PH of the claims, it is reasonable that the aqueous polymer compositions of the prior art would possess the presently claimed properties since the compositions of the prior art are essentially the same as the claimed composition and the USPTO does not have at its disposal the tools or facilities deemed necessary to make physical determinations of the sort. In any event, an otherwise old composition is not patentable regardless of any new or unexpected properties. In re Fitzgerald et al , 619 F.2d 67, 205 USPQ 594 (CCPA 1980). See MPEP § 2112 - § 2112.02.

Even if assuming that the prior art references do not meet the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 102, it would still have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to arrive at the same inventive composition because the disclosure of the inventive subject matter appears within the generic disclosure of the prior art.

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed 12/05/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 5. Applicant argues that the "prior art does not teach or suggest a polymer having the viscosity properties of the present claims". However, as stated above, it is

Application/Control Number: 09/737,269 Page 4

Art Unit: 1713

reasonable that the aqueous polymer compositions of the prior art would possess the presently claimed properties since the compositions of the prior art are essentially the same as the claimed composition and the USPTO does not have at its disposal the tools or facilities deemed necessary to make physical determinations of the sort.

- 6. Applicant speaks of "prior art alkali soluble thickeners" having pH's greater than 6.0 as being outside of applicant's invention. However, "typically" compositions of said thickeners, according to applicant's arguments, comprising "an aqueous emulsion reaction product of: (A) a monoethylenically unsaturated carboxylic acid, (B) a monoethylenically unsaturated monomer different from (A), e.g. ethyl acrylate and (C) a macromonomer comprising a hydrophobic portion and an alkoxylated portion which is polymerizable with monomers (A) and (B)", which is consistent with applicant's own claimed composition.
- 7. While applicant states that they "believe that the polymers of Examples 116 and 117 of Jenkins 843 will not have viscosities over 10,000 centipoise at pH 6.0 measured as a 1.0% solution as required by the present claims", believe alone is not sufficient evidence of fact.
- 8. Regarding Shay et al., the recitation of C_{1-8} alkyl ester of (meth)acrylic acid is a sufficiently small group that the public is in possession of methyl acrylate, particularly

Art Unit: 1713

since the methyl ester (C₁ alkyl) is specifically named, and the requirements for anticipation are met.

- 9. Regarding the behenyl alcohol residue recited in claim 20, this "22 carbon" alkyl group is also specifically named in Shay et al.
- 10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Kelechi C. Egwim whose telephone number is (571) 272-1099. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T (7:30-6:00).

Application/Control Number: 09/737,269 Page 6

Art Unit: 1713

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KELECHI C. EGWIM PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER

KCE